

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Power Sources 132 (2004) 195-200



www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Improvement of electrochemical stability of LiCoO₂ cathode by a nano-crystalline coating

Hailei Zhao*, Ling Gao, Weihua Qiu, Xiuhua Zhang

Department of Inorganic Nonmetallic Materials, School of Material Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China

Received 22 September 2003; received in revised form 16 December 2003; accepted 16 January 2004

Abstract

A nano-crystalline MgO coating was formed on the surface of LiCoO₂ particle via a sol–gel method. MgO coating can improve the cycling stability of LiCoO₂ significantly. After the 40th cycle a discharge capacity of more than 120 mAh/g was remained for 1 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂, while only 13 mAh/g for pristine LiCoO₂ when both charged up to 4.7 V. During heat treatment and charge/discharge process, Mg²⁺ in coatings will diffuse into LiO₂-layers of LiCoO₂, which does not cause any detectable shift in X-ray diffractometer (XRD) peak positions, but does impact the XRD peak intensity due to the aberrance of (003) plane. Mg²⁺ ions existing in LiO₂-layers will stabilize the lattice structure of LiCoO₂, hence improve the cycling performance of LiCoO₂ cathode. More MgO coating on LiCoO₂ is detrimental to the electrochemical properties of LiCoO₂ cathode, probably due to the electrochemical inactivity of MgO particles.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Lithium ion battery; Lithium cobalt oxide; Coating; Electrochemical stability

1. Introduction

There is a pressing need for high-specific power, highspecific energy and high-safety battery systems suitable for use in portable electric device and power tools, electric vehicles and spacecraft. Due to their excellent properties such as high voltage, high energy density, and durable cycle charge characteristics, lithium-ion batteries have so far attracted most attentions. Currently, lithium-ion batteries have captured a large share of the rechargeable battery market.

The active cathode material of a secondary lithium battery is a host compound into/from which lithium ions can be reversibly inserted/extracted over a large composition range [1]. Transition metal oxides, such as $LiCoO_2$, $LiNiO_2$ and $LiMn_2O_4$ [2–7], have found application as positive electrode materials for high power application through their high potentials (ca. 4 V versus Li). In recent years, significant effort has been made to optimize the electrochemical properties of these three materials, besides the identification of completely new materials suitable for the use as positive electrodes in rechargeable lithium batteries.

LiCoO₂, with R3m α -NaFeO₂ layered structure, is considered the most stable cathode of these three materials when the Li/Li_{1-x}CoO₂ cell is cycled within limited composition range (0 < x < 0.5), and thus being used widely in various applications. However, its electrochemical properties degrade rapidly when more Li-ion is extracted from the host lattice in the overcharge occasion. Many works have been reported to improve the cycling stability of LiCoO₂ by doping or coating some inactive material into/on its particles [8-12]. Al, Mg, Sn and their corresponding oxides are generally considered the promising candidates for improving the cycling performance of LiCoO₂ cathode [10–16]. However, different results have been observed when incorporating Mg into $LiCoO_2$ lattice [10,17]. Mg dopants were reported to have no effect on the capacity retention of LiCoO₂, except the reinforcement in electronic conductivity due to the appearance of Co^{4+} charge compensators [10]. While other works showed the significant improvement of Mg-doping on the cycling stability of LiCoO₂ that is used as the cathode in secondary lithium-ion battery [17]. The effect of Mg-doping on the capacity retention of LiCoO₂ is, virtually, associated with the Mg distribution between the layers of LiCoO₂ lattice structure [17]. The replacement of Co by Mg in CoO₂-layers has a positive effect on the

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-10-62334863;

fax: +86-10-62334861.

E-mail address: hlzhao@mater.ustb.edu.cn (H. Zhao).

capacity retention; however, Mg dopants in LiO₂-layers do not influence the cycling stability. In respect of surface modification, MgO-coated LiCoO₂ exhibits obviously improved electrochemical stability even though charged up to 4.7 V [16,17]. MgO coated on the particle surface of LiCoO₂ appears to have no impact on the lattice parameters of pristine LiCoO₂, no changes being detectable in X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns before and after coating, although small amounts of Mg²⁺ ions were believed to have diffused into the lattice of LiCoO₂. Further, to the best of our knowledge, there is limited report concerning the effect of coating quantity on the electrochemical properties of LiCoO₂.

In this paper, nano-crystalline MgO was coated on the particle surface of commercial $LiCoO_2$ by a sol–gel method. The changes in lattice structure of $LiCoO_2$ before and after coating was observed in the XRD patterns. The electrochemical stability of $LiCoO_2$ was remarkably improved by a surface coating of nano-crystalline MgO. The effect of coating amount of MgO on the cycling stability of $LiCoO_2$ is also examined.

2. Experimental

Commercial LiCoO₂ with particle size ranging from 8 to $10 \,\mu\text{m}$ was used as pristine material, and Mg(CH₃COO)₂. 4H₂O was used as coating reagent. LiCoO₂ powder was initially dispersed into ethanol containing the desired amount of Mg(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O by using a blender and followed by an ultrasonic stirring for 10 min, then dried with a rotary evaporator under reflux condition. The residue as-obtained was heat-treated at 650 °C in air for 4 h to decompose the organic radicle and thus create a MgO coating layer on the particle surface of LiCoO₂. The coating amount of MgO on LiCoO₂ powders was 1, 2 and 3.8 mol%, respectively.

The X-ray phase analysis was performed on the $LiCoO_2$ powders before and after coating using a D/MAX-RB X-ray diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation. The surface morphology of $LiCoO_2$ powder coated and uncoated with MgO was observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM, S250-II).

To prepare the electrodes for the electrochemical characterization, the MgO-coated LiCoO₂ powders were mixed with carbon black and PVDF in the weight ratio of 85:10:5. The mixture was rolled into a thin sheet of uniform thickness from which 6 mm diameter pellets were cut. Electrochemical cells were assembled in Ar-filled glove box with metallic lithium foil as the counter electrode, Cellgard 2300 as the separator and 1M LiPF₆ (Merck, battery grade) in ethyl carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1 in volume ratio) as the electrolyte. The cell was left aged for at least 6 h after assembly before galvanostatically cycled between 3.3 V and various charge cutoff voltages on LAND cycler (Wuhan, China).

3. Results and discussion

LiCoO₂ is the best commercial cathode material so far for secondary lithium-ion battery owing to its excellent electrochemical properties. LiCoO₂ displays an ordered rock-salt structure, in which Co and Li separately occupy the two octahedral positions in the (111) cubic planes (3a)and 3b sites), thus leading to the formation of distinct LiO₂ and CoO₂-layers. Li-ions can be intercalated/deintercalated into/from the CoO₂ layer reversibly under electrochemical driving force in a limited extent [4]. When the cell is over-charged more Li-ions will be extracted, which will cause the reordering of O atoms in the lattice structures and thus resulting in the destruction of the layered structure of LiCoO₂. Consequently, the cycle stability is deteriorated. Therefore, an effective way to improve the rechargeability of the cathode material is to make its structure more flexible. It has been reported [16,18] that the degradation of LiCoO₂ cathode material is related to its surface defects or surface chemistry. Therefore, coating the surface of LiCoO₂ by an appropriate substance is probably a good method to improve its electrochemical properties by modifying its surface structural characteristics. Fig. 1 presents the variation of specific discharge capacity with the number of cycles for LiCoO₂ coated with 1, 2 and 3.8 mol% MgO, respectively. As a comparison, the discharge capacity of pristine LiCoO₂ is also given here. The cutoff voltage for the first 10 cycles is from 3.3 to 4.25 V, the second 10 cycles is from 3.3 to 4.5 V and the third 10 cycles is from 3.3 to 4.7 V. When cycled at 3.3-4.25 V, MgO-coated LiCoO₂ shows a relatively lower specific discharge capacity compared with that of pristine LiCoO₂. With the increase of the coating amount of MgO, the discharge capacity of MgO-coated LiCoO₂ decreases. Nevertheless, the discharge capacity of LiCoO₂ coated with 1 mol% MgO is very close to that of pristine LiCoO₂ except the initial capacity. The initial discharge capacity of 1 mol% MgO-coated sample is apparently lower



Fig. 1. Plots of specific discharge capacities of pristine $LiCoO_2$ and $LiCoO_2$ coated with 1, 2 and 3.8 mol% MgO, respectively, as a function of the cycle number for the first 30 cycles. The cutoff voltage for the first 10 cycles is 3.3-4.25 V, the second 10 cycles 3.3-4.5 V and the third 10 cycles 3.3-4.7 V.

than that of the pristine LiCoO₂, and also somewhat lower than itself on the second cycle, which can be attributed to the insulation of MgO on the surface to both the electrons and the Li⁺ ions [16]. From the second cycle on, the difference in discharge capacity between the pristine LiCoO₂ and 1 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂ becomes unobvious and negligible. This is deemed to be due to the migration of Mg²⁺ ions from the MgO shell into the core of the underlying LiCoO₂ during the charge and discharge cycling. More MgO coating may lower the discharge capacity of LiCoO₂ cathode, most likely resulting from the electrochemical inactivity of MgO and the lower solid solution concentration of MgO in LiCoO₂ lattice. The more accumulation of MgO on the surface of LiCoO₂ particle will hinder the diffusion of Li⁺ ions into/from LiCoO₂ cathode.

With increasing the charge cutoff voltage, the effect of MgO coating on the electrochemical properties of $LiCoO_2$ becomes more remarkable, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The discharge capacity of $LiCoO_2$ coated with 1 mol% MgO when charged/discharged between 3.3 and 4.7 V is more than 190 mAh/g, higher than that of pristine $LiCoO_2$. Furthermore, a more stable cycling performance is obtained for 1 mol% MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$ compared to that of pristine $LiCoO_2$, as evidenced in Fig. 2. Excessive MgO on $LiCoO_2$ particle is apparently unfavorable to the improvement of cycle-reversibility of $LiCoO_2$ cathode. It is worthwhile to note that the electrochemical performance of the sample coated with 3.8 mol% MgO. The reason is not yet clear so far.



Fig. 2. Charge and discharge curves of pristine $LiCoO_2$ and $1 \mod \%$ MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$ cycled between 3.3 and 4.7 V during 20th–30th cycles.



Fig. 3. Cycling stability curves of pristine $LiCoO_2$ and $1 \mod \%$ MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$. The cells were charged and discharged at a constant current of 40 mAh/g of the active material in the potential ranges of 3.3–4.7 V.

To give further evidence for the improvement of MgO coating on the cycling stability of LiCoO₂, 1 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂ and pristine LiCoO₂ were cycled between 3.3 and 4.7 V from the beginning. Fig. 3 shows the discharge capacities of the pristine LiCoO₂ and 1 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂ as a function of the cycle number. One can see that the cycling stability of LiCoO₂ is improved significantly by MgO coating when it was charged to a high upper limiting voltage. After the 40th cycle a discharge capacity of more than 120 mAh/g still remained for 1 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂, but only 13 mAh/g for pristine LiCoO₂. It is interesting to note that during the first 12 cycles the discharge capacities of pristine LiCoO₂ and 1 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂ exhibit the similar values, and the decreases in the capacity also show the nearly same tendency. Thereafter, the pristine LiCoO₂ degrades very rapidly with cycling, while the MgO-coated LiCoO₂ fades tardily. This can be ascribed to the stabilizing effect of MgO coating on the surface structure of LiCoO₂ particles.

The suggested reasons for the capacity fade of pristine LiCoO₂ are the cobalt dissolution reactions and/or the mechanical failure of the electrode material when the cell is charged above 4.2 V [15,18–20]. Cumulative stresses inside the particles induce their fracture. Coating a film on the surface of LiCoO₂ particles may prevent the direct contact of the active core material with the acidic electrolyte and further avoid the dissolution of the Co^{4+} ions [15,16]. In our experiments, this seems not to be the main reason for the improvement of cycling stability as the discharge capacity of the initial 12 cycles hardly changes for the two kinds of LiCoO₂. What contributes to the cycling stability of MgO-coated LiCoO₂ is considered to be the structure stabilizing effect of MgO. A transmission electron microscopy study by Gabrisch et al. [21] indicated that the layered structure of LiCoO₂ would partially transform to a cubic spinel structure during cycling, especially on the surface of particles. They suggested that this spinel phase formation could be responsible in part for the irreversible capacity

fade. With respect to our experiments, MgO on the surface of LiCoO₂ particle will be activated during cycling owing to its nano-size and its high specific surface area (as described below). The activated Mg²⁺ ions will easily diffuse into the interslab space (LiO₂ layer) of LiCoO₂ and take the sites of Li⁺ ions, thus stabilize the structure of the active material. More Mg²⁺ ions may migrate into the lattice of LiCoO₂ as the cycle continues and the structure of LiCoO₂ thus being gradually stabilized. The phase transformation on the surface of LiCoO₂ particle from layered structure to spinel structure will be suppressed. As a result, the cycling performance of LiCoO₂ is improved progressively.

This claim can be confirmed by the comparison of Fig. 1 with Fig. 3. The cycle-reversibility of 1 mol% MgO coated LiCoO₂ during the first 10 cycles is even worse when charged up to 4.7 V directly (Fig. 3), compared to that of the third 10 cycles when charged to 4.7 V stepwise (Fig. 1). This can be elucidated by the kinetic diffusion of Mg^{2+} ions into the lattice of LiCoO2. For the sample charged to 4.7 V stepwise, Mg^{2+} ions will diffuse into the LiO₂ layers of LiCoO₂ particles gradually during the first (charged to 4.25 V) and second (charged to 4.5 V) 10 cycles before charged to 4.7 V, as a result of which, the surface structure of LiCoO₂ particles is stabilized progressively upon the cycling on. Consequently, a good cycle-reversibility during the third 10 cycles for the sample is expected even being charged up to 4.7 V. However, for the sample charged directly up to 4.7 V from the beginning of charge-discharge cycling, Mg²⁺ ions can not migrate into lattice of underlying LiCoO₂ immediately and sufficiently during the first several cycles due to the kinetic reasons of diffusion. Therefore, the surface structure of LiCoO₂ particle cannot be stabilized thoroughly at the beginning of cycling, thus the discharge capacity exhibits a relatively fast degradation. With cycling on, more Mg²⁺ is incorporated into the lattice of LiCoO₂, the surface structure of LiCoO₂ particles being stabilized and the cycle-reversibility also, thereby, being improved after the first 12 cycles, as evidenced in Fig. 3.



Fig. 4. Morphology of pristine LiCoO₂ observed under SEM.

Figs. 4 and 5 show the morphologies of pristine $LiCoO_2$ and MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$ particles. A smooth and clean surface was observed on pristine $LiCoO_2$ particles, while, lots of nano-sized MgO beads (have been identified by EDAX, not shown here) appeared on the surface of MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$ particles. With the coating amount of MgO increasing, the distribution of the small beads on the surface of $LiCoO_2$ particle tends denser, whereas, the size of MgO beads keeps constant. The surface free energy of these MgO beads is very high due to its nanometer size; hence they quite easily migrate into the lattice of $LiCoO_2$ during the heat treatment of coating preparation and the cycling process, and thus resulting in the stabilization of layered $LiCoO_2$.

The X-ray diffraction patterns of pristine $LiCoO_2$ and MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$ are compared in Fig. 6. The patterns contain all the major diffraction peaks for $LiCoO_2$. There is almost no apparent change in XRD profiles between pristine $LiCoO_2$ and 1 mol% MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$, no peaks related to MgO detectable in XRD pattern of 1 mol% MgO-coated $LiCoO_2$, which is consistent with the results reported in



(a)



Fig. 5. SEM photographs of $LiCoO_2$ coated with $1 \mod \%$ (a) and $3.8 \mod \%$ (b) MgO.



Fig. 6. XRD patterns of pristine $LiCoO_2$ (a) and $LiCoO_2$ coated with 1 mol% (b) and 10 mol% (c) MgO, respectively.

literatures [15,17]. There is every possibility that the amount of MgO coated on the surface of LiCoO₂ goes beyond the measurement precision of XRD. In order to get circumstantial evidence on the identity of diffusion of Mg²⁺ ions into the lattice of layered LiCoO₂ and to gain an insight into if MgO reacts with underlying LiCoO₂, LiCoO₂ was coated with 10 mol% MgO, followed by the identical heat treatment the previous coating samples have ever taken. Its XRD pattern is shown in Fig. 6c. It is apparent that although no deviation is detected in characteristic peak positions between pristine LiCoO₂ and 10 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂, a remarkable change in (003) peak intensity has exhibited. The (003) peak of 10 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂ tends to much weak compared to that of pristine LiCoO₂. Furthermore, some small diffraction peaks due to MgO were identified in the enlarged XRD profiles of 10 mol% MgO-coated LiCoO₂ powder, but no other impurity peaks emerged, as evidenced in Fig. 7. This indicates that no chemical reaction occurs between LiCoO₂ and MgO.

Peak intensity in XRD patterns depends on many factors. The multiplicity factor is one of the important elements that affect the intensity of power line. In powder diffraction all net planes with an equal value of $1/d_{hkl}$ contribute to the same powder line. The number of contributing reflec-



Fig. 7. Comparison of XRD patterns in some extents for pristine $LiCoO_2$ and $LiCoO_2$ coated with 10 mol% MgO, indicating the existence of MgO on particle surface of $LiCoO_2$.

tions is determined by the crystal system and the Miller indices hkl of the reflecting net planes [22]. During the heat treatment of MgO-coated LiCoO₂, Mg²⁺ ions quite likely diffuse into LiO2-layer due to the similar ionic radius of $Mg^{2+}(0.72 \text{ Å})$ with $Li^+(0.76 \text{ Å})$ and the nanometer size of MgO coated on the surface of LiCoO₂ particle, as illustrated in Fig. 5. The difference in electrovalence between Mg^{2+} and Li⁺ will yield some aberrance in LiO₂ layer and thus lower the ordering of LiO₂ layer, which is assigned to be (003) plane [23], when compared to pristine LiCoO₂ crystalline. The tiny aberrance in LiO₂ layer may not impose detectable effect on the lattice parameters of LiCoO₂ (no detectable peak position change), whereas it is considered to be responsible for the change in XRD peak intensity because the ordering level will affect the multiplicity factor in XRD detection. It is reasonable to demonstrate that with the coating amount of MgO on LiCoO₂ particle increasing, the Mg^{2+} ions migrated into LiCoO₂ lattice increase, thus the impact of Mg²⁺ ions on the peak intensity of XRD profile becomes more significant. Thereby, 1 mol% MgO coating corresponds to no detectable change in XRD patterns, while 10 mol% MgO coating corresponds to a significant decrease in peak intensity of (003) plane of LiCoO₂. The migration of Mg²⁺ into LiO₂-layer will increase the attraction power between CoO₂ slabs owing to its high electrovalence, thus stabilize the structure of LiCoO₂, and eventually improve the cycling stability of LiCoO₂ especially charged to a high voltage.

However, when more Mg^{2+} ions migrate into the LiO₂ layers as increasing the coating quantity of MgO, the lattice structure, especially the LiO₂ layer structure, may be severely distorted as evidenced in the XRD results of LiCoO₂ powders coated with different amounts of MgO. The aberrance of lattice structure tends to more significant for delithiated MgO-coated LiCoO₂ when charged up to 4.7 V. This excessive aberrance is considered to be harmful to the structure stability (corresponding to the cycle-reversibility) although a certain amount of Mg²⁺ can stabilize the lattice structure and so improve the cycle-reversibility of LiCoO₂, as indicated in Fig. 1.

4. Conclusion

MgO coating can improve the cycling stability of LiCoO₂ remarkably. During heat treatment and charge/discharge process, Mg^{2+} ions in coatings will diffuse into LiO₂-layers of LiCoO₂, which does not cause any detectable shift in peak positions of XRD profiles, but does impact the XRD peak intensity due to the aberrance of (0 0 3) plane. Mg^{2+} ions existing in LiO₂-layers will stabilize the structure of LiCoO₂, hence improving the cycling performance of LiCoO₂ cathode. A 1 mol% MgO on the LiCoO₂ particle surface can improve its cycling stability effectively, especially under the overcharged conditions. More MgO coating on LiCoO₂, however, is not only unfavorable for the improvement of discharge capacity but also very detrimental to the cycling stability of LiCoO₂ cathode, probably due to the electrochemical inactivity of MgO particles.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 50272012).

References

- [1] J.B. Goodenough, Solid State Ionics 69 (1994) 184.
- [2] K. Mizushima, P.C. Wiseman, J.B. Goodenough, Mater. Res. Bull. 15 (1980) 783–789.
- [3] M.G.S.R. Thomas, W.I.F. David, J.B. Goodenough, Mater. Res. Bull. 20 (1985) 1137.

- [4] G.G. Amatucci, J.M. Tarascon, L.C. Klein, J. Electrochem. Soc. 143 (1996) 1114–1123.
- [5] S. Levasseur, M. Menetrier, E. Suard, C. Delmas, Solid State Ionics 128 (2000) 11–24.
- [6] J.M. Tarascon, D. Guyomard, Electrochim. Acta 38 (1993) 1221.
- [7] S. Yamada, M. Fujiwara, M. Kanda, J. Power Sources 54 (1995) 209.
- [8] R. Alcántara, P. Lavela, J.L. Tirado, E. Zhecheva, R. Stoyanova, J. Solid State Electrochem. 3 (1999) 121.
- [9] H.-J. Kweon, S.J. Kim, D.G. Park, J. Power Sources 88 (2000) 255.
- [10] H. Tukamoto, A.R. West, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 3164– 3168.
- [11] H.-J. Kweon, D.G. Park, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 3 (2000) 128–130.
- [12] J. Cho, Y.J. Kim, B. Park, Chem. Mater. 12 (2000) 3788-3791.
- [13] J. Cho, C.-S. Kim, S.-I. Yoo, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 3 (2000) 362–365.
- [14] Y. Jang II, B. Huang, H. Wang, D.R. Sadoway, G. Cedar, J. Electrochem. Soc. 146 (1999) 862–868.
- [15] L. Liu, Z. Wang, H. Li, L. Chen, X. Huang, Solid State Ionics 152–153 (2002) 341–346.
- [16] Z. Wang, L. Liu, L. Cheng, X. Huang, Solid State Ionics 148 (2002) 335–342.
- [17] M. Mladenov, R. Stoyanova, E. Zhecheva, S. Vassilev, Electrochem. Commun. 3 (2001) 410–416.
- [18] G.G. Amatucci, J.M. Tarascon, L.C. Klein, Solid State Ionics 83 (1996) 167.
- [19] D. Ostrovskii, F. Ronci, B. Scrosati, P. Jacobson, J. Power Sources 94 (2001) 183.
- [20] H. Wang, Y.I. Jang, B. Huang, D. Sadoway, Y.-M. Chiang, J. Electrochem. Soc. 146 (1999) 473.
- [21] H. Garisch, R. Yazami, B. Fultz, J. Power Sources 119–121 (2003) 674–679.
- [22] D.D.L. Chung, P.W. Dehaven, H. Arnold, D. Ghosh, X-ray Diffraction at Elevated Temperatures, VCH Publisher, Inc., USA, 1993, pp. 39–45.
- [23] Y. Shao-Horn, S.A. Hackney, C.S. Johnson, et al., J. Solid State Chem. 140 (1998) 116–127.